### Traceability Outcome & Uncertainty

### Relative to ISO15189

David Ducroq

Weqas

Unit 6, Parc Tŷ Glas

Llanishen

Cardiff

www.weqas.com



# The Science of Metrology

### **Metrology:**

'The science of measurement and its application'

### Measurand:

'Quantity intended to be measured'

#### **Measurement:**

'Process of experimentally obtaining one or more **quantity values** that can reasonably be attributed to a **quantity**'

#### **Measurement procedure:**

'Detailed description of a **measurement** according to one or more **measurement principles** and to a given **measurement method**, based on a **measurement model** and including any calculation to obtain a **measurement result**'

### VIM 2008 (Vocabulary in Measurement)



# Traceability





# Traceability

### **English Dictionary Definition**

### •TRACE:

Origin

Middle English (first recorded as a noun in the sense 'path that someone or something takes'): from Old French *trace* (noun), *tracier* (verb), based on Latin *tractus* 

### •TRACEABLE:

(to somebody/something) if something is traceable, you can find out where it came from, where it has gone, when it began or what its cause was



### Measurement Traceability

'Traceability' means that the measurement results can be related to a stated reference by an unbroken chain of accredited comparisons (NPL)

Property of a measurement result whereby the result can be related to a reference through a documented unbroken chain of calibrations, each contributing to the measurement uncertainty (source: VIM 3, JCGM, 2008)



# BIPM - Centre of Global Metrology



Bureau International des Poids et Mesures

Metre



Kilogram





### Traceability concept – metre measurement





Standardised meter rule in NPL lab, London

Prototype Metre bar, made of an alloy of platinum and iridium, that was the standard from 1889 to 1960 (stored in BIPM).





Calibrated ruler, accredited organisation

Commercially available calibrated ruler



Traceability in Clinical Measurement



# JCTLM Working Group -TEP

### Traceability, Education and Promotion (WG-TEP)

### Membership:

**JCTLM** Executive

Wider JCTLM Membership (ILAC, Eurachem, IFCC, BIPM) Members co-opted on basis of skills and experience



### Aims:

**JCTLM** Meeting

Promote Traceability (scientific meetings, educational material, JCTLM newsletter)

Production and maintenance of a traceability website



### Serum Rhubarb Traceability



### Why Traceability?

- Diagnostic test results should be comparable independent of the hospital, country or time in which they are made
- This ensures that patients receive the correct diagnosis and treatment independent of the country or hospital they are in.
- It is the responsibility of the IVD manufacturer to ensure that the calibrators they provide are traceable to available and appropriate CRMs or RMPs.
- In house methods: ensure traceability during method validation
- Required by ISO15189 and ISO17025



Reference Measurement Systems - Traceability concept and standardisation of methods



### The Goals Of A Reference System

- To establish global compatibility of clinical measurements.
- To evaluate the performance of routine laboratories within national QC systems.
- To evaluate the accuracy of routine analytical systems for routine use.



### **Definition - Reference Method**

"A thoroughly investigated method, clearly and exactly describing the necessary conditions and procedures, for the measurement of one or more property values that has been shown to have accuracy and precision commensurate with its intended use and that can therefore be used to assess the accuracy of other methods for the same measurement, particularly in permitting the characterisation of a reference material"

### e.g. ID-MS

International Organization for Standardization. ISO Guide 30. 2nd Ed. Geneva: ISO, 1992.



# What Constitutes a Reference Method

### Generally:

- 1. Defined measurand
- 2. Reference methods will have an end point detection that looks at the molecular species











# ISO15189 – Traceability

- 5.3.2.4 Equipment calibration
- The laboratory shall have a documented procedure for the calibration of equipment that affects examination results and that:
- b) records the metrological traceability of the calibration standard

- 5.5.3 Documentation of examination procedures
- i) calibration procedures (metrological traceability);
- 5.6.3 Calibration of measuring systems
- NOTE Documentation of calibration traceability to a higher order reference material or reference procedure may be provided by an examination system manufacturer. Such documentation is acceptable as long as the manufacturer's examination system and calibration procedures are used without modification.



### **CE Marked Methods**

• Traceability of 'out of the box' methods

- This is the responsibility of the manufacturer <u>BUT</u> the lab must have documentation available for UKAS to view.

# CE



# Manufacturer Traceability

#### Calibrator Target Value and Uncertainty

#### Traceability of Method

#### Standardization

The ADVIA Chemistry concentrated CRE\_2c method is traceable to the IDMS Reference Method via correlation of patient samples and reference material SRM967 from the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), using the non-concentrated version of the assay which provides identical levels of all reaction components. See the correlation data in the *System Correlation* section for the relationship. The assigned value of the Siemens Chemistry Calibrator is traceable to this standardization.

Bayer HealthCare Diagnostics Division



DECLARATION OF UNCERTAINTY

Method: ADVIA<sup>®</sup> Chemistry Creatinine 2 Method (CREA 2) on ADVIA 1650/2400/1200

UNCERTAINTY

	TARGET VALUE (1)	TOTAL UNCERTAINTY (2)	PER CENT UNCERTAINTY		
Calibrator	8.2 mg/dL	0.57 mg/dL	6.9		
	ADVIA 2400 CRE	A 2			
	TARGET VALUE (1)	TOTAL UNCERTAINTY (2)	PER CENT		
Calibrator	8.2 mg/dL	0.71 mg/dL	8.7		
	ADVIA 1200 CRE	A 2			
	TARGET VALUE (1)	TOTAL UNCERTAINTY (2)	PER CENT		
Calibrator	8.2 mg/dL	1.06 mg/dL	12.9		
(1) The Calibrator value h	as been assigned in a Nested pro	tocol from the Master	Lot Calibrator.		
(2) Uncertainty is calculat assigned value. Therefore	ed as the half-width of the 95% cc e, the true value for a calibrator lo	nfidence interval of the t should fall in the inter	e calibrator val (assigned		

Issued: January 25, 2006

#### **Advia Creatinine Method**



10493976\_EN Rev. D, 2013-01

10 - English

# Traceability

### In House Methods

UKAS will want calibrators to be traceable where material is available.

Where traceability is not possible/relevant, other means of providing confidence in the results is required

How do we do this?



### **Reference Materials**

- Primary Reference Standard (calibrator)
  - Certified purity
- Primary Reference Material
  - Verification of Primary Reference Method
- Secondary Reference Material
  - Verification of Secondary Reference Method
- · EQA / QCRM

Check certificate for appropriate usage (commutability).



### Sources of Certified Reference Material and Methods



JCTLM website hosted by BIPM (http://www.bipm.org/jctlm/)

1. Reference Materials 2. Reference Measurement Methods 3. Reference Measurement Services

### **Commercial Suppliers**

Clinical applications   LG	C Standards - Windows Intern	et Explorer					
>	lgcstandards.com/epages/LGC.sf/e	en_GB/?ObjectPath=/Shops/LGC/Categories/"CA	TALOGUE%20CLINICAL%20FORENS"/H-14	🔄 🗙 🎦 Google 🛛 🔎 🔹			
<u>Eile E</u> dit <u>V</u> iew F <u>a</u> vorite	es <u>T</u> ools <u>H</u> elp				Supp	ly materi	ial
🖥 Favorites 🛛 🕄 🔹 🄏 Da	tabase of higher-ord 🛛 🤓 Clinic	al applications 🗙	6	) 🔹 🗟 👻 🖃 🖶 🖕 Page 🍷 Safety 🍷 Tools 🍷 🔞 🍷 🎽	JOupp	ly match	iai
Ch	noose language 🛛 🔻		Login <sub>0</sub> ,	Basket Quick order	from	NICT	
			Your account			INIST,	
							oto
		roducts   Enter search term.	-			/I, INIVIIJ (	elc
_							
	Home Produc	ts Custom Requests Our Qu	ality About Us News & Ev	ents Contact Us			- 8
			+ Martin State	es/LGC.sf/en_GB/?ObjectID=8197		🖅 🗙 🚼 Google	P
Refe	erence Materials	Home > <u>Clinical/Forensic/Sport Catalogues</u> > Clin	C Elle Edit View Favorites Tools Help				
Pha	rmaceutical impurities, hary & secondary standards		🔆 Favorites 🛛 😁 🗸 🏉 Database of higher-ord	🞯 LGC Standards   LG 🗙	<u>6</u>	🔹 🗟 👻 🚍 🖶 👻 Bage 🍨 Safet	ty 🕶 Tools 👻 🔞 🕶
Pha	rmacopoeia standards	Clinical applications	Choose language	*	Login 🛛 🖉	Basket Quick order	
Fore	ensics, drugs, toxicology &				Your account	0	
spor	rts testing	Search Enter search term		All Products Enter search term		0	
Phyl	tochemicals >	(79) Sub astessies				~	
Clini	ical >	Metabolites and substrates in urine	Homo	Producte Ouetam Roqueete Oue Ouelity	About Lin Nowe 8 Evo	onte Contact Lle	
Envi	ronmental/food & physical	Non-electrolyte metals	Home			its contact os	
Indu	strial & petrochemical	Non-electrolyte metals in blood	Product Categories	Home > Search results		_	
Cadmium Standard for AAS 1	raceCERT⊛, 1000 mg/L Cd in nitric acid   S	Sigma-Aldrich - Windows Internet Explorer		Search results		Buy	
🚱 😔 💌 👫 http://www.sigma	aaldrich.com/catalog/product/fluka/51994?lang=	en&region=G8	• • × K Google	13 matches found for "Creatinine"		From our	
Eile Edit View Favorites	Tools Help	K colored C. H.	A T I T I A T Paper Cafety T Tools T A T	» in Clinical/Forensic/Sport Catalogues 💥 Clinical applications 💥		range	
	e of higher-ord	Cadmum Standard f X	CI + CI + CI + Eagle + Daney + 100s + @+	[13] Products Available	Sort by Relevancy 💌	Buy Now ->	
SIGMA-ALL	IHICH		ν Q	(1)		buy now	
PRODUCTS - SERVICE	ES • INDUSTRIES •	ACCOUNT - SUPPORT -	ORDER 🐂 🗸	Creatinine and electrolytes in frozen human ser	um ERM-DA250	Online ordering	
United Kingdom Home > 51994 - Ca	Idmium Standard for AAS			(high level)		made easy	
51994 FLUKA				UoM 1.0mL			
Cadmium Star	ndard for AAS			SDS CoA		Register ->	
MSDS SIMILAR PRODUCTS	n nitric acid			Creatinine and electrolytes in frozen human ser	um ERM-DA251		
				(low level)		Sign up to our email newsletter	
Purchase Safety & Doct	umentation 🕑 Peer-Reviewed Pape	ers 0					
						Register $ ightarrow$	
Documents	Safety Information			Metabolites and substrates in frozen human ser	CEN DMR-263A		
Bulk Quote-Order Product	Symbol	GH 507, GH 508		UoM unit		Catalogues	
MSDS	Signal word	Danger		SDS COA			
D Certificate of Analysis	Hazard statements	H316-H319-H350-H412		Creatinine in frozen human serum (low level)	ERM-DA252	Download PDFs ->	
	Precautionary statements	P201-P273-P305 + P351 + P338-P308 + P313 Eaceshields, full-face respirator (US). Clover, Conc.	es multi-numose	UoM 1.0mL			
	- electricity forecasts to garger relit	combination respirator cartridge (US), stores, dogg	114387) respirator	SDS CoA			
Similar Products	Hazard Codes (Europe)	T		Creatinine in frozen human serum (bigh level)	ERM.DA253		
	Risk Statements (Europe)	45-20/21/22-36/38		UoM 1.0mL	ENW-DA255		
	RIDADR	55-26-36(37-45) UN 3264 8 / PGIII				A Internet	🖓 🔻 🔍 100% 💌
	WGK Germany	3				, , <b>.</b>	, . ,
Technical Service: Our team of scientists has experien	ice in all areas of research including Life	Bulk Ordering & Pricing: Need larger quantities for your development, manufacturing or res	earch			\ A / -	
Science, Material Science, Chemica and many others.	al Synthesis, Chromatography, Analytical	applications?		-		\/\/6	<u>ה</u> חי
<ol> <li>Done, but with errors on page.</li> </ol>			😚 😝 Internet 🖓 👻 💐 100% 💌			V V C	

# Certificate of Analysis



#### National Institute of Standards & Technology

#### Certificate of Analysis

#### Standard Reference Material 914a

#### Creatinine

This Standard Reference Material (SRM) is certified as a chemical of known purity. It is inte use in the calibration and standardization of procedures used for determination of creatinine conce analysis and for routine evaluations of daily working standards used in these procedures. The is:



The estimated uncertainty of the purity is based upon scientific judgment and statistical analysi analytical tests applied to the material in the certification process.

Contributions to the certification and characterization of this SRM were made by A. Cohen Margolis, and E. White V of the Organic Analytical Research Division and M. Knoerdel Marinenko, and S.F. Stone of the Inorganic Analytical Research Division.

This Certificate of Analysis has undergone editorial revision to reflect program and organization and at the Department of Commerce. No attempt was made to reevaluate the certificate values or presented on this certificate.

#### Purity

### **TraceCERT**®

Concentration





388 maka



This certificate is designed in accordance with ISO Guide 31<sup>[1]</sup>.

Traceable Certified Deference Materi

Object of certification:	Calcium standard for AAS								
Fluka Product No.:	69349 (Lot BCBF8858V)								
Composition:	Calcium carbonate (pure material) in 2% HNO <sub>3</sub> (prepared from HNO <sub>3</sub> TraceSELECT <sup>®</sup> and water TraceSELECT <sup>®</sup> Ultra, 18.2 M $\Omega$ cm and 0.22 µm filtered)								
Density at 20°C:	$\rho = 1012 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ $u_{\rm c}(\rho) = 0.5 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$								
Intended use:	Calibration of AAS, ICP-spectrometry, spectrophotometry or any other analytical technique								
Storing and handling:	This reference material shall be stored between 5°C and 30°C. The bottle's temperature must be 20°C and shaked well before every use. If storage of a partially used bottle is necessary, the cap should be tightly sealed and the bottle should be stored at reduced temperature (e.g. refrigerator) to minimize transpiration rate.								
Expiry date:	JUN / 2014								
Bottle opening date:									
Certified value traceable to SI unit kg and uncertainty according to ISO Guide 35 <sup>[2]</sup> and Eurachem/CITAC Guide <sup>[3]</sup>									
Constituent	Certified value at 20°C <sup>[4]</sup> Expanded uncertainty $[U = ku_c; k = 2]$								
Calcium (	1000 mgL <sup>-1</sup> 4 mgL <sup>-1</sup>								

make



# Equipment Traceability

#### 3.17 metrological traceability

NOTE 4 For measurements with more than one input quantity in the measurement model, each of the quantity values should itself be metrologically traceable and the calibration hierarchy involved can form a branched structure or a network.

The effort involved in establishing metrological traceability for each input quantity should be commensurate with its relative contribution to the measurement result.

• Where equipment is critical to the measurement and directly or indirectly affects results, the traceability must be confirmed:

Pipettes, Balances, Thermometers, pH meters etc.

• Verification required at defined intervals



### Uncertainty





### **Uncertainty Definition**

### Uncertain:

- Not completely confident or sure of something
- Not able to be accurately known or predicted

### **Uncertainty:**

Also called: uncertainness. The state or condition of being uncertain

#### **GUM** definition:

The word "uncertainty" means doubt, and thus in its broadest sense "uncertainty of measurement" means doubt about the validity of the result of a measurement.



# Measurement Uncertainty

### **ISO Definition**

 Non-negative parameter characterising the dispersion of the quantity values being attributed to a measurand, based on the information used

### **GUM** Definition

 Parameter, associated with the result of a measurement, that characterises the dispersion of the values that could reasonably be attributed to the measurand

i.e. how confident you are with the provided result



# Why Measure Uncertainty?

- It's there, we should understand it
- Assures comparability among tests (National, International)
- Required for accreditation
- Provides an objective quality measure
- Help with method improvement
- Guide for root cause analysis and corrective action



### Measure thrice, cut once



- One measurement mistake could go completely unnoticed.
- Two measurements that don't not agree: may not know which is 'wrong'.
- Three measurements two agree with each other while the third is very different, then you could be suspicious about the third.

### **Basic Factors Affecting Uncertainty**



Imprecision good Accuracy (bias) good Uncertainty low Imprecision good Accuracy (bias) poor Uncertainty low for imprecision, high for accuracy Imprecision poor Accuracy (bias) poor Uncertainty high



### ISO15189 - Uncertainty

•5.5.1.1 Validation of examination procedures

•5.5.1.3 Uncertainty of examination results

•The laboratory shall have procedures for estimating the uncertainty of measured quantity values, where it has practical utility. When estimating uncertainty, all uncertainty components of importance in a given situation shall be considered.

Upon request the laboratory shall make available its estimates of the uncertainty of its measured quantity values.

•5.5.3 Documentation of examination procedures

 m) principle of procedure for calculating results, including uncertainty of examination results;



### What UKAS Expect

- Performance requirements for each measurement procedure to be defined
- Regularly reviewed
- Uncertainty estimates to be readily available
- Requirements cover examinations reporting measured quantity values and those that include a measurement step
- Lab needs to understand what impacts on the test result



# Identifying and Combining Uncertainty



### Type A Uncertainty

Type A evaluation of measurement uncertainty

Evaluation of a component of measurement uncertainty by a statistical analysis of measured quantity values obtained under defined measurement conditions.

Obtained during method validation:

Repeatability, Reproducibility etc

 $U = SD/\sqrt{n}$  (Standard error of the mean)



### Type B Uncertainty Type B evaluation of measurement uncertainty

Evaluation of a component of measurement uncertainty determined by means other than a Type A evaluation of measurement uncertainty.

These are uncertainty values generally associated with equipment and supplied by the manufacturer e.g. uncertainty associated with a balance, pH meter, thermometer

Generally assume a rectangular distribution:

$$U = a / \sqrt{3}$$

Where a = manufacturer stated uncertainty



# **Combining Uncertainty**

- Identify the components of uncertainty
- Calculate all uncertainty as Standard Deviation
- All uncertainty must be in the same units as the measurand
- Combine Uncertainty as the square root sum of squares

Uncertainty =  $\sqrt{(U_1^2 + U_2^2 + ...)}$ 

Relative Standard Deviation ( $R_{SD}$ ): This is a measure of the spread of the data in comparison to the mean.  $R_{SD} = SD / x = CV$ 



Confidence Interval: a range which includes a specified (usually 95%) of the possible values

We<mark>q</mark>as

# ISO Principles - Summary

- All uncertainty calculated as Standard Deviation (best to use R<sub>SD</sub>)
- Combined uncertainty, u<sub>c</sub>, obtained from the combination of square root of variances (s<sup>2</sup>)

•

Express as 'expanded uncertainty', U<sub>c</sub>, for additional confidence

$$U_c = k x u_c$$



### Uncertainty of target – Uncertainty Budget

Combined uncertainty = $2 \times result \times \{\sqrt{(U_{sample})^2 + \sqrt{(U_{std})^2 + \sqrt{(U_{Cont})^2}}}\}$ Where $U_{sample}$  $U_{sample}$  $U_{std}$ = uncertainty associated with sample<br/>precision $U_{std}$ = uncertainty associated with standard<br/>preparation

U<sub>Cont</sub> = uncertainty associated with the Controls

Coverage factor of 2 - 95% confidence

Uncertainty as Relative Standard Deviation (R<sub>SD</sub>)



# Identifying the Components of Uncertainty



# Identifying Uncertainty Components

 List the stages of the method (flow diagram) e.g. Sample prep, analytical stage etc

Cause and Effect Diagrams

Ishikawa or Fishbone Diagram

Causes are usually grouped into major categories to identify these sources of variation.



# Uncertainty of target (ID-GCMS Reference method)



Weqas

### IFCC 37°C Enzyme Method

Enzyme kinetic uncertainty L-(+)-Lactate + NAD+ –LDH→ Pyruvate + NADH + H+



Weqas

# **Preparation of Standards**



National Institute of Slandards & Technology

#### **Certificate of Analysis**

#### Standard Reference Material 914a

#### Creatinine

This Standard Reference Material (SRM) is certified as a chemical of known purity. It is intended primarily for use in the calibration and standardization of procedures used for determination of creatinine concentration in clinical analysis and for routine evaluations of daily working standards used in these procedures. The purity of this SRM is:

#### 99.7 ± 0.3%

The estimated uncertainty of the purity is based upon scientific judgment and statistical analysis of the numerous analytical tests applied to the material in the certification process.

Contributions to the certification and characterization of this SRM were made by A. Cohen, B. Coxon, S.A. Margolis, and E. White V of the Organic Analytical Research Division and M. Knoerdel, W.F. Koch, G. Marinenko, and S.F. Stone of the Inorganic Analytical Research Division.

This Certificate of Analysis has undergone editorial revision to reflect program and organizational changes at NIST and at the Department of Commerce. No attempt was made to reevaluate the certificate values or any technical data presented on this certificate.



#### **Uncertainty from:**

- Purity of standard from certificate
- Balance manufacturer or experimental
- Volumetric apparatus manufacturer or experimental
- Matrix



### Pre-analytical events

- With a defined protocol, do these matter?
  - Method specific?
  - Measurand specific
- Sources of error could include:
  - Blood tube type
     Clotting time
     Storage temperature / time
     .....etc
  - For each measurand vary the items above and collate data e.g observe the obtained data from 2 types of blood tubes
  - Combine all uncertainties



### **Uncertainty From EQA Data**



We<mark>q</mark>as

### **Graphical Representation**



Combined uncertainty =  $2 \times \text{result } \times \{\sqrt{(\text{Usample})^2 + \sqrt{(\text{Ustd})^2 + \sqrt{(\text{USRM})^2}} \}$ 



### **Uncertainty in Practice**

- For most methods, the majority of the uncertainty arises from the precision of the method
- CE marked methods generally 'out of the box'. Manufacturer defines uncertainty (?)
- This can be expressed in terms of %cv (% $R_{SD}$ )



### **RCPA** Advice

#### **Preparation for ISO 15189**

The College recommends the following:

1. Make a list of all of the tests where the result is reported as a number.

2. From this, make a sub-list of every test which is already in the in-house CV% data.

3. Multiply the CV% by 2 and record this figure as the uncertainty of measurement against each of these tests. This should cover the majority of tests that a particular laboratory reports as a number.

4. Determine the CV% for the remaining tests, either by:

- reviewing internal QC data (30 sets) and determining the CV%
- obtaining CV% for method employed from an External QA Programme
- obtaining CV% for method employed from the manufacturer (reagent kit insert)

5. Check if it is either impractical or irrelevant to determine the uncertainty of measurement for the remaining tests, and document your reasons if these are not obvious.

6. Finally, document the policy for determining the uncertainty of measurement, the calculations used, and the sources of data used for these calculations.

RCPath: ISO 15189:2012 – An approach to the assessment of uncertainty of measurement for cellular pathology laboratories May 2015



# **Total Analytical Error**

### • BUT:

This assumes the Bias is zero
 Better to use total error calculation (?):
 Total Analytical Error = Bias + 1.65 x imprecision SD
 OR

Include the bias as a separate figure alongside the uncertainty value



### Example Calculation – from QC data

Multiple QC data points at different levels. Need to combine uncertainty from each level



# Relative Standard Uncertainty – Sample Precision

• 
$$R_{SD} = \sqrt{\left[\frac{[(n_1-1) \times (S_1/\chi_1)^2 + (n_2-1) \times (S_2/\chi_2)^2 \dots etc.]}{(n_1-1) + (n_2-1) \dots}\right]}$$

• This is the overall coefficient of variation



### Lab QC Data

Date March 2015														
Assay	Method	Feb-15						War-15						
		Ν	Mean	CV	SD	MU	CU	N	Mean	CV	SD	MU	CU	Comments
		5	44.7	0.55	0.25	0.2236		8	39.1	2.1	0.81	0.573		
ACTH	Roche E411	5	855	0.67	5.7	5.0982	5.103	8	905	1.4	12.9	9.122	9.14	
		3	21.2	7.8	1.65	1.9053		2	23.2	15.2	3.54	5.006		
АМН	Beckman Elisa	3	55.2	4.9	2.7	3.1177	3.654	2	57.7	5.3	3.04	4.299	6.599	
		7	0.86	2.2	0.02	0.0151		7	0.84	1.51	0.01	0.008		
		7	9.33	1.8	0.16	0.1209	0.122	7	8.71	1.66	0.14	0.106	0.106	
Growth Hormone	Roche E411			0.4	0.1	0.4								
BOND	0.1	4	4.1	2.4	0.1	0.1	0.407		5.0	4.0	0.00	0.470	0.470	
P3NP	Orion	8	5.6	4.3	0.24	0.1697	0.197	9	5.6	4.6	0.26	0.173	0.173	
Inculin	Manaadia	5	11.4	2.9	0.33	0.2952	0.007	4	11.1	2.7	0.3	0.3	4 4 4 9	
Insuin	Mercodia	5	52.2	4.3	2.22	1.9856	2.007	4	49	2.9	1.41	1.41	1.442	
C Demtide	Manaadia	5	5/5	13.1	/5.3	57.35	00.00	4	100	5.8	32.6	32.6	70.00	
C-Peptide	Mercodia	5 6	1500	5.4	01.1	12.000	90.90	4	1524	4.1	02.2	02.2	70.23	
		0	2.3	0.4	0.2	0.1033	-	4	2.0	12	0.31	0.31	0.46	
		0	21.4	2 4 0	0.57	0.4004		4	20.9	3.1	1.34	1.34	0.40	
170HB sorum/saliva	In House PIA	6	21.5	4.2	1.9	1 5512	1 796	4	20.0	12.3	5.92	5.92	5 072	
TOTIF Seruni/Sanva	III HOUSE INA	10	49.1	7.8	1.9	2 0725	1.700	4	47.3	5.5	3.02	2 531	5.912	
		10	130	8.1	10.4	6 5775	1	6	120	17	5.7	4 654		
170HP blood spot	In Hous RIA	10	302	10.7	32.4	20 /02	21 73	6	288	6.8	10.7	16.08	16.03	
		4	0.24	5 13	0.012	0.012	21.75	8	0.23	7.5	0.02	0.012	10.35	
		6	11	35	0.012	0.012		8	11 1	3.4	0.02	0.012		
		6	92.1	3.1	2.83	2 3107		8	88.7	14	1 27	0.200		
Thyroglobulin	Beckman Access	6	204.5	2.9	5.95	4 8582	5 389	8	198	1.1	2 71	1 916	2 133	
ingrogiosann	Dookindin Kooodo	8	1 42	7 54	0.00	0.0778	0.000	8	1 46	7.2	0.1	0.071	2.100	
		8	4.8	3.3	0.16	0.1131	1	7	4.8	3.5	0.17	0.129		
TSH Receptor Ab	Roche E411	8	15.5	1.7	0.31	0.2192	0.259	7	15.8	1.6	0.25	0.189	0.239	
		8	32.8	3.6	1.16	0.8202		. 8	30	3	0.92	0.651		
	Tandem mass spec	8	515	1.9	9.62	6.8024	6.852	8	500	2.6	13.2	9.32	9.342	
UFC	Retention time	8	1.21			0	1	4	1.22	-				

### Calculated Example from QC Data

•  $R_{SD} = \sqrt{[(n_1-1) \times (S_1/\chi_1)^2 + (n_2-1) \times (S_2/\chi_2)^2]}$ 

 $(n_1-1) + (n_2-1)$ 

• For ACTH QC data (March 2015):

QC 1 Mean = 39.1, SD = 0.81, n=8

QC 2 Mean = 905, SD = 12.9, n = 8

 $R_{SD} = \sqrt{[(7 \times (0.81/39.1)^2 + 7 \times (12.9/905)^2)/7+7]}$ 

 $=\sqrt{[(7 \times (0.021)^2 + 7 \times (0.014)^2)/14]}$ 

=\[(0.003 + 0.0014)/14] = 0.0031

Therefore the method error for ACTH is 0.31% ( $R_{SD}$ )

Expanded error is 0.62% (k=2)

### Questions





### **Useful References**

#### • Metrology:

International vocabulary of basic and general terms in metrology (VIM) JCGM 200:2008 (E/F) Terminology in Analytical Measurement: Introduction to VIM 3, Eurachem 1st edition 2011

#### Traceability

JCTLM database: <u>http://www.bipm.org/en/committees/jc/jctlm/</u> (Reference materials):

- Meeting the traceability requirements of ISO 17025: An analyst's guide (3rd edition) LGC http://www.lgcgroup.com/LGCGroup/media/PDFs/Our%20science/NMI%20landing%20page/Publications%2 0and%20resources/Guides/Traceability\_Guide.pdf
- Uncertainty:

Evaluation of measurement data — Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement, JCGM 100:2008 (GUM) M3003 The Expression of Uncertainty and Confidence in Measurement (UKAS) Lab 12 The Expression of Uncertainty in Testing (UKAS)

- Evaluating Measurement Uncertainty in Clinical Chemistry LGC (<u>https://www.lgcstandards.com/WebRoot/Store/Shops/LGC/MediaGallery/case\_studies/Clinical\_worked\_ex</u> <u>amples\_report\_Final.pdf</u>)
- A Model for an Uncertainty Budget for Preanalytical Variables in Clinical Chemistry Analyses Clinical Chemistry 53:7 1343–1348 (2007)
- Hitchhiker's Guide to Measurement Uncertainty (MU) in Clinical Laboratories (Westgard website): <u>https://www.westgard.com/hitchhike-mu.htm#telimits</u>)

